


R E M A R K S

In the above-identified Office Action the sole claim of the application was rejected as being obvious in view of a hypothetical combination of the cited Price and Wirth patents. In response, Applicant points out that the Price patent discloses an arrangement wherein a rotation center of the head unit is coincident with that of the support plate, while the claims of the present invention require that the vertical pivot shaft is disposed apart from the rotary axis. In this same regard, Applicant notes that the Wirth patent also fails to show that the vertical pivot shaft is disposed apart from the rotary axis.

For these reasons it is believed that Claim 5 is patentable over the cited references, wherefore the issuance of a Notice of Allowance is solicited.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Applicant  
John A Krause  
Registration No. 24,613

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3801  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

NY\_MAIN 535339v1